Interview	Summary	

Application No. **09/545,172**

Applicant(s)

03/34

Kuroda et al.

Examiner

Hen Wong

Art Unit 26/3



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Exertosi Chris Kelley (SPE) (3) Mark Olds (alkery)
(2) Allen Wong (Example) (4)
Date of Interview Feb 11, 2003
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference closed Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: of record
Identification of prior art discussed: Cher et al and Sn et m of record
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants discussed the amendments filed 2/10/03 and
the Examinor explained their interpretation of Sun et al as well
as then etal typicitially figure 7 and col 14 lines 1-6.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required